Chang et al v. Virgin Mobile USA LLC et al Doc. 41

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

SUSAN CHANG, as Next Friend of	§	
A. C., a Minor, et al.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-1767-D
VS.	§	
	§	
VIRGIN MOBILE USA, LLC, et al.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER OF REFERENCE

In a letter to the court as required by the court's November 16, 2007 order, defendant Virgin Mobile Pty Ltd. objects to the requirement that it make initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1). Rule 26(a)(1)(C) states, in relevant part:

> A party must make the initial disclosures at or within 14 days after the parties' Rule 26(f) conference . . . unless a party objects during the conference that initial disclosures are not appropriate in this action and states the objection in the proposed discovery plan. In ruling on the objection, the court must determine what disclosures, if any, are to be made and must set the time for disclosure.

Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), the court refers to United States Magistrate Judge Paul D. Stickney for determination defendant's objection to making Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures, the determination of what disclosures—if any—are to be made, and the determination

Dockets.Justia.com

of a time for disclosure. Judge Stickney may conduct a hearing if he determines that a hearing is necessary.

SO ORDERED.

March 7, 2008.

SIDNEY A. FITZWATER
CHIEF JUDGE